

**GHG Emission Factor Development Project for Selected
Sources in the Natural Gas Industry**

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Cooperative Agreement No. XA-83376101
awarded to The University of Texas at Austin

Quarterly Progress Report

Reporting Period: October 1, 2009 through December 31, 2009

Submitted to

Lisa Hanle, Project Officer
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue (6207J)
Washington, DC 20460

Prepared by

David T. Allen, Principal Investigator
The University of Texas at Austin
10100 Burnet Rd., M.S. R7100
Austin, TX 78758

February 1, 2010

Project Overview

Methane (CH₄) is the primary component of natural gas and is also a potent greenhouse gas (GHG). Emissions of CH₄ from natural gas production, processing, and distribution are among the top ten source categories of greenhouse gas emissions in the United States, expressed on a CO₂ equivalent basis. The overall goal of the project is to update default CH₄ emission factors for selected processes and equipment used in the natural gas industry. The default emission factors will be updated by compiling and synthesizing existing data for a variety of source categories and by acquiring new emission rate measurement data for selected sources where existing data have unacceptably large uncertainties or are insufficiently representative of current practices or equipment.

The project is organized into four tasks:

- *Task 1, Data Synthesis and Gap Analysis:* The purposes of this task are to: (1) identify, compile, and synthesize existing CH₄ emission factor and activity factor data; (2) critically review the quality and representativeness of the existing data; (3) recommend and prioritize emission source characteristics for new data collection efforts under Task 3.
- *Task 2, Technical Plan Development:* The purpose of this task is to develop technical work plans and detailed cost estimates for conducting data collection and measurement studies aimed at filling the emission data gaps identified in Task 1. In doing so, we will consider the range of potential activity data metrics that could be used for updating default emission factors and gather preliminary data on relevant metrics to ensure that all the major subgroups of equipment or processes are taken into account.
- *Task 3, Measurements and Analysis:* The purposes of this task are to: (1) execute the technical plans developed in Task 2, contingent on authorization by EPA; and (2) analyze the resulting data to develop new default emission factors and uncertainty estimates for the measured sources.
- *Task 4, Reporting and Dissemination:* The purpose of this task is to report on the default emission factors developed in Tasks 1 and 3 of this study, including the methods used in the process. Reporting and communication with stakeholders will be integrated into all of the tasks and a final reporting will disseminate project results.

Progress on Tasks

Task 1

A draft review of sources of emission factor and/or activity factor data that may have relevance to the natural gas sources of interest was prepared at the end of 2008. A series of stakeholder conference calls to solicit input on the report were organized (calls were held beginning in January, 2009) and an updated literature review was prepared. The updated review, dated March 31, 2009, was posted to the project web site:

(<http://www.utexas.edu/research/ceer/GHG/tasks.htm>)

On a subsequent conference call (May 12, 2009), stakeholders identified additional reports and reports that, while not currently available, would likely become available during the lifetime of the project. These reports will be incorporated into the Task 1 report as they emerge, so the report will continue to be updated throughout the project.

Task 2

During the first quarter of 2009 a work plan specifying methods and procedures for gathering additional data needed for updating factors used for estimating methane emissions from centrifugal and reciprocating compressors used in natural gas processing was drafted. A series of stakeholder conference calls to solicit input on the plan were organized (calls were held beginning in January, 2009). A second draft of the work plan was added to the project web site: (<http://www.utexas.edu/research/ceer/GHG/tasks.htm>). During the third quarter, final updates were made to the Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) for compressor sampling, in anticipation of sampling beginning in the fourth quarter. The QAPP for compressor sampling was approved in late October, prior to sampling in November.

Task 3

During the second and third quarters of 2009, the focus was on identifying compressor sampling sites. At least 4 different companies considered opening multiple sites to the study team. During the third quarter, negotiations for site access reached the final stages with 2 of the companies. These discussions were finalized and full execution of the site access agreements occurred during the fourth quarter (October 2009). Both of these companies have made multiple sites available for sampling, with multiple compressors at each site. The University has also procured additional liability insurance through November 2010, with an option to extend coverage, if necessary.

Sampling at the first group of sites in east Texas, all belonging to a single company, occurred for a week in November, 2009. A second week of sampling is scheduled to occur in early February, 2010, at a group of sites belonging to a different company in west Texas. The strategy in conducting the sampling has been to collect as much data as possible at the sites, using three different types of instruments, and to perform a detailed cost analysis of the sampling program. The detailed cost analysis will be used to design the remainder of the sampling program. The most significant lessons learned during the first week of sampling were:

- 1) Of the three primary instruments used in the first week of sampling (IR camera, Hi-Flow Gas Analyzer and organic vapor analyzer), the IR camera and Hi-Flow gas analyzer were viewed as indispensable for effective data collection. The OVA was less useful, except in a few limited sampling scenarios.
- 2) For certain types of sampling (especially from vents), the availability of a lift was highly desirable. This may introduce considerable expense for sampling in remote locations.
- 3) The variability in component counts reported by different observers for the same facilities suggests the need for detailed video recordings of all sampling campaigns.

Plans for Next Quarter

Task 1

The literature review will be updated, as appropriate, on an on-going basis.

Task 3

With site access agreements finalized, the first group of compressors was sampled during the fourth quarter of 2009 and a second group of sites will be sampled in early February 2010.

Following the second sampling event, a summary of the data collection and a detailed cost breakdown will be provided to the EPA. A conference call will be scheduled for late February or early March with EPA to develop a plan for the remainder of the sampling, based on available funding. Following development of a draft plan, a conference call involving the project's stakeholders will be scheduled.